## RESOLUTION

## JOINT LAND USE BOARD OF THE BOROUGH OF DUMONT

A RESOLUTION DETERMINING THAT BLOCK 212 - LOT 20 and BLOCK 215 - LOT 1 IS NOT AN "AREA IN NEED OF REDEVELOPMENT"

Introduced By:

Seconded By:

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1, et seq., (The Local Redevelopment and Housing Law) a municipal Governing Body may undertake the redevelopment of a portion of the municipality and designate a property or properties as being "in need of redevelopment" and otherwise exercise the powers set forth in the aforesaid statute; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-4b the Board can be requested to conduct appropriate studies and, upon request of the Governing Body, make a determination if certain property is "an area in need of redevelopment" subsequent to holding public hearings on the matter with property notice to required parties;

WHEREAS, the Governing Body, by Resolution dated May 17, 2016, has requested that the Board make a determination if Block 212 (Lot 20) and Block 215 (Lot 1), (hereinafter, collectively, the "Property") constitutes an "area in need of redevelopment" and the

requested that Consulting, Board has Maser PA, "Maser") undertake such (hereinafter, a study and with provide the Board necessary testimony and information in order to make a determination if the Property is "an area in need for redevelopment";

WHEREAS, pursuant to proper notice, the Board has undertaken public hearing on the matter on July 14, 2016 pursuant to the Local Redevelopment and Housing Law.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board that it does hereby make the following findings of fact and conclusions based thereon:

- 1. That all of the recitals hereinabove set forth are incorporated by reference. That all of the exhibits and documents relevant thereto are herein incorporated.
- not 2. More specifically, but by limitation, the Board considered the report prepared by Maser and known the "Redevelopment Study Area Determination of (hereinafter, the "Report") Need", with regard to the Property.
- 3. The Board considered the testimony of Joseph J. Layton, PP, AICP, a licensed Professional Planner in the State and a representative of Maser.

lacking in light, air, or space, as to be conducive to unwholesome living or working conditions.

7. Mr. Layton further testified that Block 212,

Lot 20 meets Criterion "b" as an "area in

need of redevelopment" in that they

represent:

"the discontinuance of the use of previously for buildings used commercial, manufacturing, or industrial abandonment of purposes; the buildings being in so great a state of disrepair as to be untenantable in their current condition..."

8. Mr. Layton further testified that Block 212,
Lot 20 meets Criterion "d" as an "an area in
need of redevelopment" in that it represents
an area:

with buildings which, by reason of dilapidation, obsolescence, overcrowding, faulty arrangement or design, lack of ventilation, light and sanitary facilities, excessive land coverage, deleterious land use or obsolete layout, or any combination of these or other factors, are detrimental to the safety, health morals or welfare of the community.

As regards Block 215; Lot 1, Mr. 9. Layton "may testified that the property not individually meet the criteria for redevelopment", but is still suitable for inclusion in a redevelopment area in that such an area may include:

"lands, buildings or improvements which of themselves are not detrimental to public health, safety or welfare, but the inclusion of which is found necessary, with or without change in their condition for the effective redevelopment of the area of which they are a part".

BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED, the Board has considered public comment regarding the Determination of Need.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Board hereby finds that the evidence presented does not convince the Board that the Property constitutes "an area in need of redevelopment" based upon the following:

- A. Block 215; Lot 1 is simply a vacant field. It is not contiguous with the larger portion of the Property on the West Side of Washington Avenue. in no way necessary for the effective Redevelopment of Block 212; Lot 20 and it can simply be utilized in accordance with local zoning for the construction of homes. There is no need for it to be "Area declared in Need an Redevelopment" and it is a desirable tract of land for development. Block 215; Lot 1 is not necessary for the "effective redevelopment of the area for which they are a part".
- B. Block 212; Lot 20 is 6 acres. The main retail building is little more than a dilapidated barn with a collapsed attached garage that is ready to be The "greenhouses" on the carted away. site are of the "metal hoop" variety that were covered in stretched plastic that has long since been shredded. They can also be easily removed. All glass greenhouses have already The single usable structure demolished. on site is a block garage that is rented to a local landscaper that is also,

easily, removable. All structures could be simply and promptly removed and the property put to its highest and best use without the need for an "area in need of

redevelopment" designation.
C. There is no evidence whatsoever that these properties have any impediments to development which would mandate even indicate - that they need designated as an area in need redevelopment in order to assure their

appropriate use.

D. The permanent structures on Block 212, Lot 20 are only the main building and the usable garage. They are a nullity in consideration of the Area in Need of Redevelopment. While obsolete, there is no evidence whatsoever that they are detrimental to the safety, health, morals or welfare of the community.

E. While obsolete, the structures on Block 212; Lot 20 are not so lacking in light, air, or space, as to be create unwholesome living or working

conditions.

ROLL CALL:	AYES	NAYS	ABSTAIN
	6	0	0

RESOLUTION ADOPTED AT THE JULY 20, 2016 MEETING OF THE DUMONT PLANNING BOARD